

PENSIONS	REPORT
COMMITTEE	
25 March 2014	

Subject Heading:	Development of the Collective Pension Investment Vehicle
Report Author and contact details:	Mike Board Corporate Finance and Strategy Manager 01708 432217 mike.board@havering.gov.uk
Policy context:	Pensions Investment Strategy
Financial summary:	The Council will make an initial contribution of £1 in the share capital of the ACS. Savings from management fees net of running costs are expected to be achieved from the creation of this new investment vehicle.

The subject matter of this report deals with the following Council Objectives

Clean, safe and green borough	[]
Excellence in education and learning	[]
Opportunities for all through economic, social and cultural activity	[]
Value and enhance the life of every individual	[x]
High customer satisfaction and a stable council tax	[x]

SUMMARY

To advise the Committee of recent developments for the creation of a Collective Pensions Investment Vehicle across London and of the opportunity for the Council to participate in its development.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The Committee is asked to comment and note the arrangements for the creation of a Collective Investment Vehicle across London and that the Council will be considering a report on 26th March which recommends that the London Borough of Havering Pension Fund participates in this new arrangement.

REPORT DETAIL

On the 11 February 2014 the Leaders Committee of London Council's approved a report and the underlying business case supporting the creation of a Collective Investment Vehicle (CIV) across London. All London Boroughs have been invited to join on a voluntary basis.

The Leaders Committee have endorsed the following in order to establish the CIV.

- a) A private company limited by shares be incorporated to be the Authorised Contractual Scheme Operator (ACS Operator)
- b) Local Authorities wishing to participate will:
 - become shareholders in the ACS Operator
 - o contribute £1 to the ACS Operator as initial share capital.
 - Appoint an elected Councillor to act for the Local authority in excercising its rights as a shareholder of the ACS Operator.
- c) A new Joint Committee (The Pensions CIV Joint Committee) will be established to act as the representative body for those London Boroughs wishing to participate in the arrangement.
- d) All London Authorities were asked to give their responses by 14th April 2014 indicating whether the wished to participate in the CIV.

The following overarching principles were adopted during the development of the proposed structure.

Investment in the ACS should be voluntary. A borough should be able to
decide they do not wish to participate, or to the extent they initially decided
to participate, to choose to withdraw their investment.

Pensions Committee, 25 March 2014

- If a borough chose to invest, it will be able to choose which asset classes to invest into, and how much they might invest into each asset class.
- The boroughs should have sufficient control over the ACS Operator, in order to be assured that it will be acting in their best interests.
- The ACS Operator would provide regular information to participating boroughs regarding the performance of managers, investment options, and other areas, so that information continues to be available to the same extent it is currently in order for boroughs to make investment decisions.
- Authorities seeking to invest in the ACS will also take a shareholding interest in the Operator (and have membership of the Pensions Joint committee).
- The ACS will not increase the overall investment risk faced by boroughs.

Assuming that a minimum number of London boroughs have indicated their willingness to participate by 14th April the process of establishing the ACS operator can commence. It is expected that the new ACS would be incorporated around mid Summer and the ACS launched in 2015 subject to Financial Conduct Authority approval. London authorities who did not sign up to the initial structure are expected to have further opportunity to participate before the ACS is launched.

A report will be made to Full Council on 26th March recommending that the London Borough of Havering participate in these arrangements. On the creation of the ACS the Pensions Committee would determine the value timing and nature of any investment in the vehicle.

IMPLICATIONS AND RISKS

Financial Implications and risks:

The Council will contribute £1 in initial share capital. However, further contributions will be required to meet the initial set up costs.

Initial financial implications presented to London Councils suggest that savings will be achieved in management fees. These are expected to outweigh the costs of administration and still produce a net gain for member authorities. The exact position will be dependent upon a number of factors including the number of participating Councils and the sums invested in the ACS.

Whilst the Government is expected to support this form of partnership arrangement there remains a risk that it will produce alternative proposals to merge Local

Pensions Committee, 25 March 2014

Authority Pension funds. This matter has been the subject of extensive consultation by the Government although there are no plans to bring forward legislation on this matter at present

Legal Implications and risks:

A decision to enter into the agreement for the ACS and to become a shareholder in the ACS operator company (which will be a company wholly owned by the member Councils) has limited legal implications for the Council as it gives the Council the opportunity to invest via the ACS, but not a legal commitment to do so. However it is clear that the intention is that members would make some use of the facility, and it is probable that it would be in the financial interest of the pension scheme to place some funds with the ACS, particularly if it's size enables it to obtain better deals with active pension fund managers. However it's existence will not absolve the pension committee from reviewing performance of the fund, it would switch from fund managers to the ACS. There will also be a need to ensure that there isn't a conflict of interest for whichever councillor is the Council's representative on the proposed joint committee, but that can be addressed when selection occurs.

Human Resources Implications and risks:

None arising directly from the consultation.

Equalities implications and risks:

None arising directly from the consultation.

BACKGROUND PAPERS